

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MARY ANN DEZZI,	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
v.	:	No. 2:15-cv-06112
	:	
CAROLYN W. COLVIN,	:	
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	:	
Defendant.	:	

O R D E R

AND NOW, this 4th day of November, 2016, upon consideration of Plaintiff's Complaint, ECF No. 1, Defendant's Answer, ECF No. 6, Plaintiff's Brief and Statement of Issues in Support of Her Request for Review, ECF No. 7, Defendant's Response to Request for Review, ECF No. 8, and after de novo review¹ of the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of Timothy R. Rice, United States Magistrate Judge, ECF No. 10, Plaintiff's Objections to the R&R, ECF No. 11, Defendant's Response to the Objections, ECF No. 13, and the complete Administrative Record, ECF No. 5, **IT IS ORDERED THAT:**

1. Plaintiff's objections to the Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 11, are

OVERRULED.

¹ When objections to a report and recommendation have been filed, "the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); *Sample v. Diecks*, 885 F.2d 1099, 1106 n.3 (3d Cir. 1989); *Goney v. Clark*, 749 F.2d 5, 6-7 (3d Cir. 1984). "District Courts, however, are not required to make any separate findings or conclusions when reviewing a Magistrate Judge's recommendation de novo under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)." *Hill v. Barnacle*, No. 15-3815, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 12370, at *16-17 (3d Cir. 2016). The "court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings and recommendations" contained in the report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

2. The Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 10, is **APPROVED and ADOPTED.**

3. Plaintiff's Request for Review, ECF No. 7, is **DENIED.**
4. The final order of the Commissioner of Social Security is **AFFIRMED.**
5. This case is **CLOSED.**

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Joseph F. Leeson, Jr.
JOSEPH F. LEESON, JR.
United States District Judge